

Dear Dick (Paul)m

An in-between response to your 23 to Fm and me, before picking up my wife. And tuenks for the inclosure, of which I'd heard but not seen.

On the fragmentation, which floored me when I first discovered it: you should reread that, for it is more you way you you say in developing your argument. Shudy the sementics.

Morgan's representation to moward. I believe, without time to checkend it is close to two year since I read- that he even mislocated. His description also is dubious, at best.

Weepon: remember, we discussed this a long time ago. I had conjectured something like the mornet, and you'd they suggested the Weatherby or something like that would have been better, exactly what you now say, but before the panel report. It might help PH if he got the Remington-Feters catalogue, the sheet on the Nossler bullet, etc.

When I get more into the spectro suit, and I've no time for advance detailing, more of this will, I think, become understandable.

What you say of Dellas acctors is at least consistent with what Perry told me, and Pd ought remember he located the rear, non-fatal wound other than the WR or the medical mythology, giving me his source and proving it out, right then and there.

Fit should alsomunderste d, and correct me if I am wrong, that the reporting of what happened, in even the official accounts, rules out the possibility of s) the Mannlicher-Carcanno and b) the full-jacketed, military load.

When I resume my sutopsy writing, I'd like to have on hand to quote, like from stendard pubs, NRS, such things on the fest, smell, light projectiles.

Meanwhile, elthough I cannot and will not no go into it, I tell you that continuing study of what I told Hi I now have and he masn't seen indicates strongly that any firm statement of nothing to the front is foblish, separate from physics, for study of the better film I now have strongly suggests the presence of evidence and this study is not yet complete, an enormous amount of technical and lab work being involvedr- and being done. When it is done, of course, others will have access. On some of it good, clear slides and blowups up to 14" (!) now exist. More, time and motion studies of the kind "lwarez should have done and didn't are being made. Good eblargements of parts of the frames have been made, i.e., head only in one study. While I've not yet seem this, I'M told it is informative. I strongly suggest that there is new hazard in association with anything out of context and obviously incomplete.

Eurriedly.